

QA Higher Education Student Code of Conduct

London Metropolitan University Programmes

Prepared by: Quality Assurance Department

Date: May 2021

Issue: V1



- 6.2 Allegations of non-academic misconduct by a student should be reported in writing in the first instance to the Director of Quality Assurance.
- The Director of Quality Assurance shall investigate matters relevant to the alleged misconduct to determine whether misconduct has taken place.
- The Director of Quality Assurance shall decide whether there is sufficient evidence to proceed and the Misconduct Panel will be convened.
- 6.5 The Director of Quality Assurance will inform the student in writing and include:

A copy of the Misconduct Report;

All evidence in support of the misconduct;

A copy of this Student Code of Conduct;

Provide the student an opportunity to respond to the allegation within 10 working days;

Failure for a student to respond to the allegation will be considered as acceptance to the allegation;

The nature of investigation will depend on the complexity of the allegation, but the investigation:

May include an interview with the person reporting the alleged misconduct; Should include an interview with the student against whom the allegation is made, where the allegation against them and evidence on which it is based is put to them and they have an opportunity to respond;

the

interview;

A student can decline to be interviewed and/or may give written represe7.55 Tm5 Tm5 Tm5 Tm5 Tm5 Tm5 Tm5 Ta05600v



7. Consideration by the Panel

The Panel shall consider the allegation put forward.

Where the Panel considers that the allegation has been substantiated



The request for review is received late and there is no reasonable explanation why it could not be brought in time, or

It does not clearly state the grounds on which the appeal is being made; or does not disclose any reasonable grounds of appeal; or It is entirely without merit.



Appendix 1

Table of Non-